On 15 February 2004, a team of officers, directed by Major General Antonio Taguba, conducted the following interview Major General Taguba was appointed as an Investigating Officer under the provisions of Army Regulation 15-6, by Lieutenant General David D McKiernan, Commanding General of the Coalition Forces Land Component Command (CFLCC), to look into allegations of maltreatment of detainees, detainee escapes and accountability lapses, at Abu Ghraib, also known as the Baghdad Central Confinement Facility (BCCF) The panel also inquired into training, standards, employment, command policies, and internal policies, concerning the detainees held at Abu Ghraib prison Finally, the panel looked into the command climate and the command and supervisory presence

The following persons were present

MP, CFLCC – PMO, Interviewer
705th MP Battalion, Interviewer
265th Engineer Group – SJA, Interviewer
, 800th MP Brigade, Interviewee

The interview is summarized as follows

My name is My social security number is I am the Aide-de-Camp for Brigadier General Karpinski

I am responsible for overall safety I act as a PSO I am also a schedule manager. My branch is MP I came to the 800th MP Brigade as BG Hill's Aid-de-Camp

I went through MOB training at Fort Dix We training consisted of conduct, ROE, and family separation We did weapons qualification and j-list training. We did an internal CPX We trained on Geneva Conventions

BG Karpinski traveled quite often. There would be times we would go daily to the Baghdad Correctional Facility and at least twice a month to Camp Bucca or the prisons in the Mosul Area. When we went to Abu Graib she would touch base Battalion. Commander. There were some changes in the position of Battalion Commander; the current Battalion Commander is took over the facility the 800th MP Brigade was removed from oversight. I believe there was a discussion between BG Karpinski and about who was in control of what

There was nothing out of the ordinary when I visited Abu Graib There were minor uniform discrepancies, but nothing major I am not aware of the 163rd MI trying to set standards as far as saluting I recall a discussion between BG Karpinski and about living conditions as far as expanding the troop living area. I don't recall BG Karpinski's comments in regards to the expansion. I never sit in on conversations nor telephone calls that the General has

I'd say BG Karpinski and myself have a pretty good relationship. She is a very smart lady, she knows her job, and I respect her a lot. She is hands-on when she needs to be, but she normally steps back and lets her leaders be leaders. If she sees something going off track she will step in

I am familiar suspension from his command If I recall correctly the break in command was due to a couple of incidents, starting with the briefings given to LTG Sanchez. The briefing wasn't put out very well at all. was unprepared He did a pre-brief for BG Karpinski where she pointed out things she wanted fixed, they were never fixed. In addition there were taskings BG Karpinski wanted to be done that were never completed. I do not know if the suspension is documented. I think he was the time away from the command to regroup and get focused again, I believe when BG Karpinski felt was ready he was put back in his position.

My opinion of the control of that he is not a very confident leader. I feel that he doesn't have that leader's presence I don't know the morale of the unit. I'm not certain about the command climate; I do know his staff is loyal to him I believe the SGM has held censing session, but I don't know the outcome.

We have 3 or 4 different prisons in Baghdad that we would visit regularly, to check up on troops, to see if they needed anything, and to let the soldier know they were not forgotton. The number one priority on the general's list was the BCCF. Once the 205th MP Battalion took control of the BCCF the next priority would have been Camp Bucca. I would say there was a decrease in visits after the 205th took over

Her command philosophy was to focus on the mission, keep safe at all times, and the treatment of detainees with dignity and respect. She didn't have anything written, but after she took command she made her stops at every unit at every site.

There has not been an abnormal amount of disciplinary actions in this unit. I have heard of all of the disciplinary actions of the unit—I wouldn't say that is the norm, it's not proper conduct for anyone in uniform—BG Karpinski has been very strict towards the infractions that have happened in the unit—I would say that leaders that have been involved in some of the disciplinary incidents have either been suspended or relieved

When BG Karpinsi visited the units after the change of command she would meet with the Battalion Commander, and the Company Commanders The CSM would talk to all of the senior enlisted

I was in an AGR status starting October 2002 with the 306th MP battalion. I then was the for BG Hill in January 2003 Previously I was a platoon leader in the 340th MP Company and 423rd MP Company, and I did 8yrs enlisted active duty as an 11B

I still have the schedules that I had made for BG Karpinski

When I accompanied the General on her command visits her main focus was the force protection, the force protection posture, the perimeter, needs of the Command, and soldier's MWR issues. I believe she was aware of the problems with the S-1, but the unit has been overwhelmed with awards and NCOER's. She has also been aware of the numerous problems with the S-4 and the problem getting supplies.

I believe reports of survey have been done for the light sets at Abu Graib It took a long time to get engineer support for Abu Graib, things didn't get situated to the M.I. got on ground My own opinion is that active duty got support where the reserves did not My opinion is that the FOE commander was supported more by LTG Sanchez than BG Karpinski I'm not sure if LTG Sanchez lost faith in the MP's after the briefing from if so I feel that was a very harsh assumption.

I feel the BG Karpinski and LTG Sanchez had a good working relationship. I think she spoke to LTG Sanchez every 3 days or so. There were lots of request put in, and they came back denied for different reasons. My observation was that priority went to 4ID, 101st, or whoever else.

We would always walk through the compounds BG Karpinski would ask the compound staff about population, how much space, how much food, and general detainee health and welfare issues. She would ask about the command climate and if there was a problem what she could do to resolve it

She would ask about detained treatment, but nothing specific to the Geneva Convention Everyone had already received briefings and training in regards to the Geneva Convention. She would ask about the problems encountered with detainees and how they were solved. I never saw the Geneva Convention posted, I knew there were SOP's posted. There was hand written special orders manning the guard post, the general would inquire and make sure the orders were up to date.

When the general was informed of detained deaths and abuses around the compounds she was upset. She would inquire to what exactly happened. She would then inquire about the status of the detaineds and soldiers that were involved in the various incidents.

My opinion is that the unit should receive more frequent classes on detainee treatment and reinforcement of training in ROE

The battalions shared lessons learned through the S-3's of the Brigade, the S-3's of the different units would inform each other of trends they have seen and solutions they have used. The general would hold a commander's call about once a month. I can't recall the issues that were addressed.

After an incidents had happened at the compounds, we would evaluate the who, what, when, why, and how to see what had to be changed. The Camp Bucca incident happened

right at the end of General Hill's command. I believe the incident had been discussed in BG Karpinski's commander's call.

To my knowledge the rumor of sexual misconduct between a Battalion Commander and a Captain never made it to the level of allegations or an investigation, I am not sure if BG Karpinski was aware. The rumor was the 724th Battalion Commander had a sexual encounter with her female assistant. I believe that incident also took place during General Hill's tenure

Detainee Abuses or misconduct is reported to JAG or IG. I am not aware of any lack of responsiveness to commanders. People who make reports or not looked at negatively. It was MP's that brought the incident in Camp Bucca to light.

To my knowledge BG Karpinkski did not withhold jurisdiction from the CFLCC Commander on any of her officer disciplinary issues—It criminal activity was committed there were no second chances given because it was not a mistake. I would say responses to disciplinary infractions in the command are about right on target not to lenient, not too harsh

Finished with their discussion, the panel gave the last of items, to be addressed, and brought back on a Sworn Statement. The panel then dismissed 1LT

								
	SWORN	STATEMENT						
For use of this t	form, see AR 196	0-45; the proponent a	igency is ODCSOPS					
	PRIVACY	ACT STATEMENT						
AUTHORITY Title 10 USC Section 301	•		7 dated November :	22. 1943 <i>(SSN)</i>				
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE To provide commenders a								
				n to facilitate filing and retrieval				
DISCLOSURE Disclosure of your social s			ound of Identification	The total thing still factors.				
I LOCATION		TE (YYYYMMDD)	3 TIME	4 FILE NUMBER				
Camp Aritjan, Kuwan	(- 5	15 FEB 2004	1800 hrs(L)					
5 LAST NAME, FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME		6 SSN	<u> </u>	7 GRADE/STATUS				
S EAST WHILE THE STATE OF THE S		1						
8 ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS 800th MP BDE								
9								
		WANT TO MAKE TH	E FOLLOWING STA	TEMENT UNDER OATH				
While serving as the Aide-De-Camp for BG Karpinski from July 2005 until the present, I was present for almost all of her visits to detention locations throughout Iraq. When BG Karpinski would arrive at a facility she would be given an update by the unit that was there on the current status of the facility operation. She would discuss any concerns the mit commander had end offer soultions or advise them that she would take whatever action she could to rectify the problem upon returning to the 800th MP BDE TOC. She would ask the commander several questions concerning the detainess and their welfare. Also questions about the troops welfare and force protection. BG Karpinski would them walk around the facility and talk to soldiers on duty to get their take on the situation at that facinity. BG Karpinski would then walk around the facility and tells to soldiers on duty to get their take on the situation at that facinity. BG Karpinski would ask them how they were, what kind of shift they were on, how long had they been on shift, the emergency procedures did they have lethal or non-lethal in their weapon, did they check the weapons when they came on shift to ensure what kind of rounds were in the weapon. BG Karpinski would also not easy of the soldiers, detainees or possible weaknesses that would enable to escape BG Karpinski would also note any force protection issues and discuss ways to improve force protection if there were liraly prison gurads working at the facility of protein formation and the facility issues were and possible solutions, and then we would term to the brigade TOC or on to the next location. As requested I have attached the copies of my calender that I used to maintain BG Karpinski's schedule. The first two weeks of January 2004 are missing due to me not keeping track of them on a calander format, the remaining two weeks of January and February are present until the day we left Baghdad. The days on which BG Karpinski gave her "Command Philosophy" was during the initial visin with BG Hill during th								
10 EXHIBIT	11 INITIA	LS OF PERSON MAK	ING STATEMENT	2				
				PAGE 1 OF PAGES				
ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADIN	IG "STATEMENT	TAKEN A	T DATED					

DA FORM 2823, DEC 1998

DA FORM 2823, JUL 72, IS OBSOLETE

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE BE INDICATED

USAPA V1 00



			TAKEN AT <u>CAN' DOM</u>		Pri) W	
STATEMENT	(Continued)					
			AFFIDAVIT			
MUICH REGINS	ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON	PAGE / 15	HAVE READ OR HAV			
RY ME THES	TATEMENT IS TRUE I HAVE	INITIALED ALL	CORRECTIONS AND HAVE IN	IITIALED 7	THE BOTTOM OF EA	CH PAGE
	THE STATEMENT I HAVE MADISHMENT, AND WITHOUT	COESCION UNIT	WENT PREETS WITHOUT HOS	WFUL IN	DUCEMENT	WII 11001
THREAT OF PL			AALOT MALOEMET THE ONE			
THREAT OF PL			_9	ture of Pe	rsom Making Statem	ent)
THREAT OF PL			†Signa		rson Making Statem	
THREAT OF PL			(Signal Subscribed and sw	om to b el	ofe me, a person au	thorized by law to
THREAT OF PL			†Signa	om to bel s <u>lle î</u> u	ore me, a person au day of <u>Felon</u> e	thorized by law to
THREAT OF PL			Subscribed and aw administer oaths, the	om to bel s <u>lle î</u> u	ore me, a person au day of <u>Felon</u> e	thorized by law to
THREAT OF PL	ON OR ADDRESS		Subscribed and aw administer oaths, the	om to bell s <u>llo 14</u>	ore me, a person au day of <u>Felon</u> e	thorized by law to
THREAT OF PL			Subscribed and aw administer oaths, the	om to belo s lb iu 	ore me, a person au day of Februs Land	thorized by law to
THREAT OF PL			Subscribed and aw administer oaths, the	om to belo s lb iu 	ore me, a person au day of Februs	thorized by law to
WITNESSES ORGANIZATIO			Subscribed and aw administer oaths, the at Chap Dol	om to bell	ore me, a person au day of Februs Land	Path)

EIGHT PAGES REMOVED IN THEIR ENTIRETY